1	BEFORE THE			
	ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION			
2				
3	AMEREN TRANSMISSION COMPANY OF ) DOCKET NO.			
	ILLINOIS ) 12-0598			
4	)			
	)			
5	Petition for a Certificate of )			
	Public Convenience and Necessity, )			
6	pursuant to Section 8-406.1 of the )			
	Illinois Public Utilities Act, and )			
7	an Order pursuant to Section 8-503 )			
	of the Public Utilities Act, to )			
8	Construct, Operate and Maintain a )			
	New High Voltage Electric Service )			
9	Line and Related Facilities in the )			
	Counties of Adams, Brown, Cass, )			
10	Champaign, Christian, Clark, )			
	Coles, Edgar, Fulton, Macon, )			
11	Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, )			
	Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott )			
12	and Shelby, Illinois.			
13	Springfield, Illinois			
	Friday, March 1, 2013			
14				
15	Met, pursuant to notice, at 10:30 a.m.			
16	BEFORE:			
17	MR. JOHN D. ALBERS, Administrative Law Judge			
18				
19				
20				
21	L.A. Court Reporters, LLC			
	By: Carla J. Boehl, Reporter			
22	CSR #084-002710			

Т	APPEARANCES:				
2	Edward C. Fitzhenry				
	Managing Associate General Counsel				
3	Ameren Services Company				
	1901 Chouteau Avenue				
4	P.O. Box 66149 (M/C 1310)				
	St. Louis, Missouri 63166-6149				
5					
	(Appearing on behalf of Ameren				
6	Transmission Company of				
	Illinois)				
7					
	KELLY ARMSTRONG				
8	MATTHEW L. HARVEY				
	Office of General Counsel				
9	Illinois Commerce Commission				
	160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800				
10	Chicago, Illinois 60601-3104				
11	(Appearing via teleconference or				
	behalf of Staff witnesses of the				
12	Illinois Commerce Commission)				
13	RICHARD C. BALOUGH				
	BALOUGH LAW OFFICES, LLC				
14	1 North LaSalle Street, Suite 1910				
	Chicago, Illinois 60602				
15					
	(Appearing via teleconference or				
16	behalf of City of Champaign				
	and the Village of Savoy)				
17					
	KYLE C. BARRY				
18	MCGUIREWOODS LLP				
	One Old State Capitol Plaza, Suite 410				
19	Springfield, Illinois 62701				
20	(Appearing via teleconference or				
	behalf of FutureGen Industrial				
21	Alliance, Inc.)				
22					

1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	BETH A. BAUER
	117 Crestmoor Street
3	Collinsville, Illinois 62234
4	(Appearing via teleconference
	pro se)
5	
	KIMBERLY W. BOJKO
6	CARPENTER LIPPS & LELAND, LLP
	280 Plaza, Suite 1300
7	280 North High Street
	Columbus, Ohio 43215
8	
	(Appearing via teleconference on
9	behalf of MISO)
10	MICHAEL T. CODY
	10568 Irish Road
11	Loami, Illinois 62661
12	(Appearing via teleconference
	pro se)
13	
	EDWARD R. GOWER
14	HINSHAW & CULBERTSON, LLP
	400 South Ninth Street, Suite 200
15	Springfield, Illinois 62701
16	(Appearing on behalf of
	Intervenors)
17	
	MS. LAURA A. HARMON
18	Asst. General Counsel
	Office of General Counsel
19	Illinois Agricultural Association
	1701 Towando Avenue
20	Bloomington, Illinois 61701
21	(Appearing on behalf of Illinois
	Farm Bureau)
~ ~	

Τ	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	BRIAN R. KALB
	BYRON CARLSON PETRI & KALB, LLC
3	411 St. Louis Street
	Edwardsville, Illinois 62025
4	
	(Appearing via teleconference on
5	behalf of Intervenors)
6	FORREST G. KEATON
	RAMMELKAMP BRADNEY, P.C.
7	P.O. Box 550
	232 West State Street
8	Jacksonville, Illinois 62651-0550
9	(Appearing via teleconference on
	behalf of Prairie Power, Inc.)
LO	
	JOSEPH L. LAKSHMANAN
11	Managing Director-CoalCo
	Dynegy Operating Company
12	133 South Fourth Street, Suite 306
	Springfield, Illinois 62701-1232
13	
	(Appearing via teleconference or
L4	behalf of Dynegy)
15	
	OWEN E. MACBRIDE
L6	SCHIFF HARDIN, LLP
	6600 Sears Tower
17	Chicago, Illinois 60606
18	(Appearing via teleconference or
	behalf of Green Belt Express
L9	Clean Line, LLC)
20	
21	
2.2	

1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)		
2 EDWARD D. MCNAMARA JR. Atty. For Interve			
	MCNAMARA & EVANS		
3	931 South Fourth Street		
	P.O. Box 5039		
4	Springfield, Illinois 62705		
5	(Appearing on behalf of		
	Intervenors)		
6			
	GREGORY A. PEARCE		
7	7564 Hemberger Road		
	Loami, Illinois 62661		
8			
	(Appearing pro se)		
9			
	DUSTIN L. PROBST		
LO	DOVE & DOVE		
	P.O. Box 647		
11	151 South Morgan Street		
	Shelbyville, Illinois 62565-0647		
12			
	(Appearing on behalf of Shelby		
13	County Landowners Group)		
L4	BARBARA RAGHEB		
	2502 Jordan Drive		
15	Champaign, Illinois 61822		
16	(Appearing pro se)		
17	MATTHEW R. RENTSCHLER		
1.0	Rural King Supply		
18	4216 Dewitt Avenue		
1.0	Mattoon, Illinois 61938		
19			
2.0	(Appearing via teleconference or		
20	behalf of Timberview Community)		
21			
22			

1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	ERIC ROBERTSON
	LUEDERS, ROBERTSON & KONZEN
3	1939 Delmar Avenue
	P.O. Box 735
4	Granite City, Illinois 62040
5	(Appearing via teleconference on
	behalf of Moultrie County
6	Property Owners)
7	MICHAEL J. ROONEY
	P.O. Box 6034
8	Saint Charles, Illinois 60174
9	(Appearing via teleconference on
	behalf of Deborah D. Rooney)
10	
11	CHRISTOPHER N. SKEY
	CHRISTOPHER TOWNSEND
12	ADAM MARGOLIN
	QUARLES & BRADY, LLP
13	300 North LaSalle Street, Suite 4000
	Chicago, Illinois 60654
14	
	(Appearing via teleconference on
15	behalf of the Nature
	Conservancy)
16	
	MR. DAVID STREICKER
17	POLSINELLI SHUGHART, PC
	161 North Clark Street, Suite 4200
18	Chicago, Illinois 60601
19	(Appearing via teleconference on
	behalf of N. Kohl Grocer Company
20	d/b/a Kohl Wholesale)
21	
22	

1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)	
2	ALBERT D. STURTEVANT	
	WHITT STURTEVANT LLP	
3	180 North LaSalle Street, Suite 2001	
	Chicago, Illinois 60601	
4		
	(Appearing via teleconference of	n
5	behalf of Ameren Transmission	
	Company of Illinois)	
6		
	TIMOTHY J. TIGHE, JR.	
7	CHRIS ELLIS	
	BOLEN ROBINSON & ELLIS, LLP	
8	202 South Franklin Street, 2nd Floor	
	Decatur, Illinois 62523	
9		
	(Appearing via teleconference of	n
10	behalf of Intervenors)	
11	BRITTANY K. TOIGO	
	KURT WILKE	
12	BARBER SEGATTO HOFFEE WILKE & CATE	
	831 East Monroe Street	
13	Springfield, Illinois 62701	
14	(Appearing via teleconference of	n
1.5	behalf of Property Owners in	
15	Piatt, Douglas & Moultrie)	
16	ANGELA M. WEIS	
17	SIDLEY AUSTIN, LLP	
17	One South Dearborn	
18	Chicago, Illinois 60603	
10		
19	(Appearing via teleconference of Enhance Problems	)r.
10	behalf of Enbridge Pipelines	
20	(Illinois) L.L.C.)	
21		
22		

Τ	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	BRADLEY B. WILSON
	GATES WISE & SCHLOSSER, P.C.
3	1231 South 8th Street
	Springfield, Illinois 62703
4	
	(Appearing on behalf of Morgan
5	and Sangamon County Landowners
	and Tenant Farmers)
6	
7	ALSO APPEARING:
8	BRIAN DOCKERY
	1701 Fifth Avenue
9	Moline, Illinois 61265
LO	(Appearing on behalf of Central
	Stone Company)
11	
	NATHAN RALSTON
12	1216 Washington Street
	Beardstown, Illinois 62618
13	
	(Appearing on behalf of Brian
L4	and Sherry Ralston)
15	DARRELL WOOLUMS
	Attorney at Law
L6	225 North Water Street
	Decatur, Illinois 62523
L7	
	(Appearing on behalf of Mark
18	Lash)
L9	
20	
21	
22	

1		INDEX		
2				
	WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS REDI	RECT RECROSS
3				
	(None)			
4				
5				
6				
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
		EXHIBITS		
14				
			MARKED	ADMITTED
15				
	(None)			
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				

## 1 PROCEEDINGS

- JUDGE ALBERS: By the authority vested in me by
- the Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call Docket
- 4 Number 12-0598. This docket was initiated by the
- 5 Ameren Transmission Company of Illinois and concerns
- its petition for a Certificate of Public Convenience
- and Necessity pursuant to Section 8-406.1 of the Act
- 8 and particularly pertains to several counties in
- 9 Illinois for construction of a 325kV transmission
- 10 line.
- May I have the appearances for the
- record -- actually, strike that. I think, given the
- number of intervenors, I will go ahead and just call
- off intervenors as they appear on e-Docket. And if
- you are here, go ahead and enter your appearance.
- 16 Hopefully, that will save us some confusion.
- So first I will start with Ameren.
- MR. FITZHENRY: Yes. On behalf of Ameren
- 19 Transmission Company of Illinois, my name is Edward
- Fitzhenry and my address is 1901 Chouteau Avenue, St.
- Louis, Missouri.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Commission Staff?

- MS. ARMSTRONG: Appearing on behalf of Staff of
- the Illinois Commerce Commission, Kelly Armstrong and
- Matt Harvey, 160 North LaSalle Street, Suite C-800,
- 4 Chicago, Illinois 60601.
- JUDGE ALBERS: The Midwest Independent
- 6 Transmission System Operator?
- MS. BOJKO: Thank you, Your Honor. On behalf
- of MISO, Kimberly W. Bojko with Carpenter, Lipps and
- 9 Leland, 280 Plaza, Suite 1300, 280 North High Street,
- 10 Columbus, Ohio 43215.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Dynegy Midwest Generation?
- MR. LAKSHMANAN: Yes, this is Joe Lakshmanan on
- behalf of Dynegy. My address is 133 South Fourth
- 14 Street, Suite 306, Springfield, Illinois 62701.
- JUDGE ALBERS: FutureGen Industrial Alliance?
- MR. BARRY: Good morning. Kyle Barry,
- McGuireWoods, 1 North Old State Capital Plaza, Suite
- 410, Springfield, Illinois.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Clark County Preservation
- 20 Committee?
- 21 (No response.)
- Moultrie County Property Owners?

- MR. ROBERTSON: Yes. Eric Robertson, Lueders,
- Robertson and Konzen, P.O. Box 735, 1935 Delmar,
- <sup>3</sup> Granite City, Illinois 62040.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Mary Splain?
- 5 (No response.)
- 6 Macon County Property Owners?
- 7 MR. TIGHE: Tim Tighe and Chris Ellis, Bolen,
- 8 Robinson and Ellis, 202 South Franklin, Decatur,
- <sup>9</sup> Illinois.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Niemann Foods, Inc.?
- 11 (No response.)
- 12 Colfax-Scott Land Preservation Group?
- MR. McNAMARA; Edward D. McNamara, Jr., 931
- 14 South Fourth Street, Springfield, Illinois 62703.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Green Belt Express Clean Line,
- 16 LLC?
- MR. MacBRIDE: Good morning, Judge. This is
- Owen MacBride, address 233 South Wacker Drive, Suite
- 6600, Chicago, Illinois 60606, appearing on behalf of
- Grain Belt Express Clean Line, LLC.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Morgan and Sangamon County
- Landowners and Tenant Farmers?

- MR. WILSON: Brad Wilson, Gates, Wise and
- Schlosser, 1231 South 8th Street, Springfield,
- 3 Illinois 62703.
- 4 JUDGE ALBERS: Christian County Property
- 5 Owners?
- 6 (No response.)
- Prairie Power, Inc.?
- MR. KEATON: Yes. Forrest Keaton, Rammelkamp,
- 9 Bradney, P.C., 233 West State Street, P.O. Box 550,
- Jacksonville, Illinois 62651.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Nature Conservancy?
- MR. SKEY: Good morning, Your Honor. This is
- 13 Chris Skey, S-K-E-Y, together with Chris Townsend and
- 14 Adam Margolin, law firm of Quarles and Brady, L.L.P.
- 300 North LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60654, on
- behalf of the Nature Conservancy. Thank you.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Gregory and Theresa Pearce?
- MR. PEARCE: Yeah, Greg Pearce, 7564 Hemberger
- <sup>19</sup> Road, Loami 62661.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Village of Mt. Zion?
- 21 (No response.)
- Wind on the Wires?

```
1
                          (No response.)
 2
                   Enbridge Pipelines?
 3
          MS. WEIS: Yes. This is Angie Weis of Sidley
 4
     Austin, One South Dearborn, Chicago 60603.
 5
          JUDGE ALBERS: Adams County Property Owners and
 6
     Tenant Farmers?
 7
          MR. KALB: This is Brian Kalb, Edwardsville,
 8
     Illinois (618) 655-0600.
          JUDGE ALBERS: Western Morgan County Property
10
     Owners?
11
                          (No response.)
12
                   Village of Pawnee?
13
                          (No response.)
14
                   Paul Thrift and John Thompson?
15
                          (No response.)
16
                   Central Stone Company?
17
          MR. DOCKERY: Yes, Your Honor. Brian Dockery,
18
     1701 Fifth Avenue, Moline, Illinois 61265.
19
          JUDGE ALBERS: What was your name again?
20
          MR. DOCKERY: Brian Dockery, D-O-C-K-E-R-Y.
21
          JUDGE ALBERS: Are you an attorney?
22
          MR. DOCKERY: No, sir, I am not. Our attorney
```

127

- 1 couldn't make it.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Oh, okay. Tarble Limestone
- 3 Enterprises?
- MR. GOWER: Ed Gower, Hinshaw and Culbertson,
- 5 400 South Ninth Street, Suite 200, Springfield,
- 6 Illinois 62701. Phone number is (217) 467-4916.
- JUDGE ALBERS: And N. Kohl Grocer Company?
- MR. STREICKER: David Streicker, Polsinelli
- 9 Shughart, 161 North Clark, Chicago, Illinois.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Joseph and Barbara
- 11 Bergschneider?
- 12 (No response.)
- 13 Illinois Laborers and Contractors
- 14 Training Trust Fund?
- 15 (No response.)
- Andrew and Stacy Robinette?
- 17 (No response.)
- James and Tori Phillips?
- 19 (No response.)
- JDL Broadcasting, Inc.?
- MR. GOWER: Ed Gower. Do you need my address
- 22 again, Your Honor?

- JUDGE ALBERS: No, that's all right.
- The Copeland Family?
- 3 (No response.)
- 4 Donna Allen?
- 5 (No response.)
- Morgan, Sangamon and Scott Counties
- 7 Land Preservation Group?
- MR. McNAMARA: Edward D. McNamara, Jr. Judge,
- 9 I would also note that you mentioned Joseph and
- Barbara Bergschneider. They are now members, I
- should say, of the Morgan, Sangamon and Scott
- 12 Counties Land Preservation Group.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you.
- 14 Stop the Power Lines Coalition?
- MR. GOWER: Ed Gower.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Leon Corzine?
- MR. TIGHE: Tim Tighe and Chris Ellis, Bolen,
- Robinson and Ellis, 205 South Franklin, Decatur,
- 19 Illinois.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Timberview Community?
- MR. RENTSCHLER: Matthew Rentschler, 4216
- Dewitt Avenue, Mattoon, Illinois 61938.

- JUDGE ALBERS: Munjed Al-Bakri?
- 2 (No response.)
- Magdi, Barbara and Adam Ragheb?
- MS. RAGHEB: Barbara Ragheb, 2502 Jordan Drive,
- 5 Champaign 61822.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Village of Sidney?
- 7 (No response.)
- 8 Deborah Rooney?
- 9 MR. ROONEY: Your Honor, Mike Rooney
- representing Deborah Rooney, P.O. Box 6034, St.
- 11 Charles, Illinois 61074.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Brian and Sherry Ralston?
- MR. RALSTON: Yes, Your Honor, Nathan Ralston
- on behalf of Brian and Sherry Ralston, 1216
- Washington Street, Beardstown, Illinois 62618.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Are you an attorney, sir?
- MR. RALSTON: No.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Do you plan on representing them
- throughout the process?
- MR. RALSTON: Yes.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Only an attorney can represent
- someone else.

- MR. RALSTON: My father is disabled so he sent
- <sup>2</sup> me to intercede for him.
- JUDGE ALBERS: You can attend and we will send
- <sup>4</sup> a report back to him, but as far as actually later on
- 5 practicing law, if you will, he will need actually an
- 6 attorney here or else they will have to come
- <sup>7</sup> themselves. So we can talk later if you have any
- <sup>8</sup> questions about that.
- 9 MR. RALSTON: Okay.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Michael Lockwood?
- 11 (No response.)
- 12 Pamela Irwin?
- 13 (No response.)
- Schuyler County Property Owners?
- 15 (No response.)
- Beth Brauer?
- MS. BAUER: Beth Bauer, no "R".
- JUDGE ALBERS: I am sorry.
- MS. BAUER: That's okay. My address is 117
- 20 Crestmoor Street, Collinsville, Illinois 62234.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Michael Cody?
- MR. CODY: This is Michael Cody, 10568 Irish

- 1 Road, Loami, Illinois 62661.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Illinois Farm Bureau?
- MS. HARMON: Laura Harmon on behalf of the
- 4 Illinois Farm Bureau, 1701 Towanda Avenue,
- <sup>5</sup> Bloomington, Illinois 61702.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Mary, Gregory or Mark Hamblin?
- 7 (No response.)
- Thomas and Linda McLaughlin?
- 9 (No response.)
- 10 Assumption Group?
- MR. TIGHE: Tim Tighe and Chris Ellis, Bolen,
- Robinson and Ellis, 202 South Franklin, Decatur,
- 13 Illinois.
- JUDGE ALBERS: And David and Theresa Bockhold?
- 15 (No response.)
- Michael Hutchinson?
- 17 (No response.)
- Larry and Ginger Durbin?
- MR. PROBST: Good morning, Your Honor. Dustin
- 20 Probst of Dove and Dove, Attorneys at Law, 151 South
- Morgan Street, Shelbyville, Illinois 62565, appearing
- on behalf of the Durbins and Shelby County Landowners

```
1
     Group.
 2
          JUDGE ALBERS: What was your name again?
 3
          MR. PROBST: Dustin Probst, P-R-O-B as in boy,
 4
     S-T.
 5
          JUDGE ALBERS: We are almost done.
 6
                   John Reed?
 7
          MR. GOWER: Ed Gower.
 8
          JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Justin Ramey and Ann
     Raynolds?
10
                           (No response.)
11
                   Laura Te Grotenhuis?
12
          MR. GOWER: Ed Gower.
          JUDGE ALBERS: Virginia Megredy and Carolyn
13
14
     Patterson?
15
                           (No response.)
16
                   Rural Clark and Edgar County Concerned
17
     Citizens?
18
                           (No response.)
19
                   Erbon Doak?
20
                           (No response.)
21
                   Coles County Landowners?
22
```

MR. GOWER: Ed Gower.

- JUDGE ALBERS: Morrison Group -- or, sorry,
- <sup>2</sup> Morrisonville Group.
- MR. TIGHE: Tim Tighe and Chris Ellis.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Louise Brock-Jones, L.P.?
- 5 (No response.)
- 6 Coalition of Property Owners and
- <sup>7</sup> Interested Parties in Piatt, Douglas and Moultrie
- 8 Counties?
- 9 MS. TOIGO: Brittany Kink Toigo and Kurt Wilke,
- Barber, Segatto, Hoffee, Wilke and Cate, 831 East
- Monroe, Springfield, Illinois 62701.
- JUDGE ALBERS: What was your name again?
- MS. TOIGO: Brittany Kink Toigo.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Could you spell that, please?
- MS. TOIGO: Kink is K-I-N-K and Toigo is
- 16 T-O-I-G-O.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Thank you. I believe that's it.
- Are there any others wishing to enter an appearance?
- MR. BALOUGH: Yes, Your Honor. Richard Balough
- on behalf of the City of Champaign and the Village of
- 21 Savoy. It is Balough Law Offices, One North LaSalle
- Street, Suite 1910, Chicago, Illinois 60602. We

- filed appearances on behalf of both municipalities.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Yeah, I am sorry, I had that. I
- just -- I am on a different list.
- 4 MR. STURTEVANT: Good morning, Your Honor. Also
- 5 appearing on behalf of ATSI, Albert Sturtevant of
- 6 Whitt Sturtevant L.L.P., 180 North LaSalle Street,
- <sup>7</sup> Suite 2001, Chicago, Illinois 60601.
- MR. HARVEY: For the Staff of the Illinois
- 9 Commerce Commission, Matthew L. Harvey, 160 North
- LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Any others?
- MR. WOOLUMS: Your Honor, Darrell Woolums on
- behalf of Mark Lash, 225 North Water Street, Decatur,
- <sup>14</sup> Illinois 62523.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Who are you representing?
- MR. WOOLUMS: Mark Lash, L-A-S-H.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Has that person intervened or do
- they plan to?
- MR. WOOLUMS: No.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. That's fine. I just
- wondered.
- All right. Any others?

- MR. GOWER: I also have a Petition to Intervene
- pending on behalf of Coles County and Moultrie County
- 3 Land Interests.
- 4 JUDGE ALBERS: So it's called Coles County and
- <sup>5</sup> Moultrie County Land Interests?
- 6 MR. GOWER: Yes.
- JUDGE ALBERS: When was that filed?
- 8 MR. GOWER: I'm sorry?
- JUDGE ALBERS: When was that filed?
- MR. GOWER: I believe yesterday.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Whoever is gargling, making all
- that noise, cleaning out their desk, please step away
- from the phone when you do that.
- MR. KALB: Judge Albers, this is Brian Kalb. I
- filed a Petition to Intervene on behalf of Louise
- Brock-Jones Limited Partnership.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Yes, sorry. Thank you.
- Any others?
- 19 (No response.)
- All right. Moving along, as far as
- 21 preliminary matters I do have the pending Petitions
- to Intervene from -- can you hear me all right --

- 1 Laura Te Grotenhuis; Virginia Megredy and Carolyn
- Patterson; Rural Clark and Edgar County Concerned
- 3 Citizens; Erbon Doak D-O-A-K; Coles County
- 4 Landowners; the Amended Petition to Intervene of
- Macon County Property Owners; the Morrisonville
- Group; Louise Brock-Jones, L.P.; Coalition of
- Property Owners and Interested Parties in Piatt,
- 8 Douglas and Moultrie Counties; Amended Petition to
- 9 Intervene of Morgan, Sangamon and Scott County Land
- Preservation Group; and the Amended Petition to
- 11 Intervene of the Stop the Power Lines Coalition. Is
- there any objection to any of those?
- 13 (No response.)
- Hearing no objection, they are all
- 15 granted.
- Now, there are a few newcomers to this
- process so I planned on basically describing how this
- would work from here on out, and I will take any
- 19 questions on the process after that. After that,
- anyone is welcome to raise any issues or questions
- they might have.
- MR. GOWER: Your Honor, we are still getting

- quite a bit of static. Could you ask the people on
- the phone to mute their lines, please?
- JUDGE ALBERS: Yes. If you are on the phone,
- 4 as Mr. Gower suggested, please mute your line while I
- 5 am speaking. Roll up your window in the car.
- All right. I will try to speak
- <sup>7</sup> louder.
- 8 This process began under Section
- 9 8-406.1 of the Public Utilities Act which became law
- in 2008. It sets forth an expedited process for
- doing the transmission line applications. Based on
- the date that Ameren completed the filing of the
- application, the deadline is August 20 of 2013.
- And when Ameren filed the petition, it
- also included its testimony presenting its petition.
- In reviewing that testimony, Intervenors and Staff
- may have questions about the proposals, and they are
- free to ask questions about that in a process called
- 19 discovery.
- If you do send any questions to Ameren
- or, for that matter, to each other once you have seen
- each other's proposed routes, please do not copy the

- <sup>1</sup> ALJs on your data requests or any kind of discovery.
- The ALJs do not want to see them nor should we. If
- you end up incorporating any of that information in
- 4 your testimony, we will certainly look at it then.
- But just as a matter of process, whenever discovery
- occurs between the parties, do not include the ALJs.
- Following that -- oh, I do want to
- 8 mention my talk about Commission Staff. For those of
- you who don't know, those are the Commission
- employees who take a look at whatever a company
- proposes and makes a neutral -- a recommendation
- balancing all of the interests of the parties.
- January 25 of this year Judge Yoder
- and I issued a ruling setting forth the schedule for
- the rest of this. On March 29, that's the due date
- for Staff and Intervenors to submit testimony
- offering their positions. And the testimony, as I
- said, can incorporate any information you get in
- discovery, but generally it is your chance to offer
- your case.
- If you want to intervene, you need to
- do so pursuant to the Code Part 200 here at the

- 1 Commission. That's our rules of practice. You can
- get a copy of that online and through our Clerk's
- <sup>3</sup> Office here in Springfield. If you do not follow the
- 4 rules in that, your chance of getting your Petition
- to Intervene rejected by the Clerk's Office are
- 6 pretty good. So please follow the rules in Code Part
- 7 200.
- 8 After we get the Staff and Intervenor
- 9 testimony on March 29, Staff and Landowners will have
- a chance to -- off the record.
- 11 (Whereupon there was then had an
- off-the-record discussion.)
- JUDGE ALBERS: So after we get Staff and
- 14 Intervenor testimony on the 29th, you folks will have
- a chance to respond to each other on April 12. And
- because Ameren has the burden in this case, they get
- the last bite at the apple, so to speak, and their
- final round of testimony will be due on April 26.
- The next step is an evidentiary
- hearing that is scheduled from May 13 through the
- 17th, where those who provided testimony will be
- sworn in and subject to questions from the other

- parties. And after that all parties can file initial
- briefs and reply briefs which are basically applying
- 3 the facts to the law.
- 4 And once that's completed, Judge Yoder
- and I will issue a Proposed Order, and that Proposed
- Order will be our recommendation to the five-member
- 7 Commission. You will get a chance to point out any
- 8 flaws in our Order or anything you disagree with in a
- 9 document called the Brief on Exceptions, and we will
- take that and the Proposed Order to the five-member
- 11 Commission and they will make a final decision in
- this case.
- 13 And I would note that we do intend to
- $^{14}$  reserve any filings in this matter via Ebay -- I am
- sorry, e-mail. So if you do intervene, please make
- sure you provide an e-mail.
- Some of you guys have discussed this
- earlier, but does anyone have any questions about the
- 19 process?
- 20 (No response.)
- All right. Does anybody have any
- questions at all?

- MR. FITZHENRY: Your Honor, this is Ed
- Fitzhenry on behalf of the Company. I have three
- observations/recommendations. As you know, typically
- 4 the Company, the utility, will solicit from the
- 5 parties cross examination estimates and
- 6 identification of witnesses that are intended to be
- <sup>7</sup> cross-examined.
- 8 Given the number of parties in this
- 9 case and the need, I think, to have before you and
- Judge Yoder at some time prior to the commencement of
- the evidentiary hearings, we would ask that you would
- consider issuing a notice to all the parties that
- would obligate them to tell the Company, and the
- 14 Company would share the same information as to who
- they intend to cross-examine and their cross
- examination estimates. We understand they are
- estimates; they are not barcoded. And that that
- information be provided to the Company no later than
- $^{19}$  May 3.
- Thereafter, the Company, as it
- typically does, will look to see how the witnesses
- can all fit within the five days of scheduled

- hearings and share with the parties, as we always do,
- a draft of the witness availability, the days in
- which those witnesses might appear and the cross
- 4 examination estimates. And then once that is
- finalized, of course, we would share that with you
- 6 and Judge Yoder and the rest of the parties.
- 7 I think if you were inclined to issue
- a notice asking for that information, it is more
- 9 likely that the Company will get it. Again, we have
- some parties here that are new to the process. So we
- would ask you to consider a ruling along that nature.
- Second, the motion hearing that's set
- for May 8, we would like you to consider that also
- being a tentative status hearing that could follow
- from the rulings or a discussion about the motions.
- That would for, I think, the purpose of making sure
- we know when people are going to show up and any
- witness issues that need to be brought to your
- 19 attention.
- 20 And then third, I don't know that you
- 21 planned to consider the evidentiary hearings to be
- available to parties by telephone. The Company would

- strongly oppose that. But we will insist that any
- witnesses that we intend to cross-examine will have
- <sup>3</sup> to be here in Springfield. And if you think there is
- 4 any advantage to letting parties, again, who are new
- to the process and have been able to attend these
- status hearings telephonically, that that may not be
- <sup>7</sup> available to them, if you are so inclined, letting
- 8 them know that now or sometime in the near future
- 9 might be a benefit to them as well.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. Well, I think I can
- respond to two of those right off the bat. As far as
- the last one first, it is my preference, I believe
- Judge Yoder's as well, that anyone who does intend to
- 14 actually conduct cross examination, whether they are
- asking the questions or answering the questions, be
- present here in Springfield, primarily for the
- reasons we just experienced.
- MR. FITZHENRY: So to be clear about that, even
- people or parties that don't have any cross
- examination but they want to listen in? Again, we
- have concerns about the background noise and all
- that. Is your expectation that parties have to

- either be here in Springfield and/or the Commission's
- offices in Chicago by which the -- to be at the
- 3 hearings?
- JUDGE ALBERS: I think if someone simply wants
- to listen -- now, I have to double check on this.
- 6 But I think if somebody simply wants to listen, they
- <sup>7</sup> can listen over the internet, which I don't think
- 8 then will interfere with our audio here in the room,
- 9 but I will double check on that.
- MR. HARVEY: Your Honor, this is Matt Harvey
- representing the Staff of the Commission. It is our
- understanding that there would, on Staff's
- application, be a video link available between the
- 14 Springfield and Chicago offices.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Yeah, I am not as concerned
- about that as it wouldn't involve the static issues.
- So we can address the inter-workings of that as we
- get closer to the hearing.
- MR. MacBRIDE: Judge, this is Owen MacBride, I
- would just like to comment. This is unlikely to be
- 21 my issue, but if you are allowing people to listen by
- telephone to the actual hearing, I would suggest you

- sort of lose control over who gets to participate if
- there is proprietary information involved.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Has there been proprietary
- 4 information in the initial filing?
- MR. FITZHENRY: I believe there has been.
- JUDGE ALBERS: I will probably go off --
- 7 MR. FITZHENRY: That and maybe some critical
- infrastructure information that may or may not have
- been shared. I don't recall exactly. There is that
- possibility.
- MS. BOJKO: Yes, Your Honor. Yes, this is
- 12 Kimberly Bojko of the Midwest ISO. There has been
- critical infrastructure information shared with
- parties via discovery.
- JUDGE ALBERS: All right. Well, I believe that
- with a flip of the switch right in front of me here I
- can turn off the internet access. So that may take
- care of that. So if somebody is willing to
- participate via internet, knowing that they are going
- to be cut off when proprietary information comes up,
- I think that would take care of that concern.
- MR. SKEY: Your Honor, this is Chris Skey. I

- just want a clarification so I understand. I
- understand that there would -- that there would be a
- yideo hookup to the Commission's office in Chicago so
- 4 that if somebody wanted to monitor proceedings but
- was not going to be in person in Springfield, they
- 6 would be able to go to the Commission's office in
- <sup>7</sup> Chicago and see the live video of the hearing as it
- 8 occurs, is that correct?
- JUDGE ALBERS: So far. Like I said, I haven't
- 10 -- I need to talk to Staff about how much -- the
- nature of the video connection. I don't want to open
- the door up to have the hearing be in Chicago,
- basically. So we need to --
- MR. SKEY: No, I understand, Your Honor. I am
- not suggesting that. I am just suggesting that,
- since it is a week-long hearing and, as Mr. Fitzhenry
- indicated, there will likely, as there always is, be
- an attempt to, you know, accommodate witnesses or
- 19 accommodate counsel and parties for convenience sake
- instead of putting all witnesses together or
- whatever. So that if somebody weren't there for the
- entire hearing but perhaps was actively participating

- in parts of the hearing, they could -- on the days
- when they are not expecting to be active, they could
- 3 at least observe the hearing from the Commission's
- <sup>4</sup> offices in Chicago.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Yeah, if you just want to sit
- there and watch, that's fine.
- MR. SKEY: Okay. I appreciate that, thank you.
- JUDGE ALBERS: All right. Are there any other
- 9 questions about that particular area? Mr. McNamara.
- MR. McNAMARA: Will there be a date certain
- when the various parties will notify each other
- whether they are going to want one of the other
- parties present to testify for cross examination?
- JUDGE ALBERS: I think that plays into
- Mr. Fitzhenry's first suggestion which Judge Yoder
- and I planned on doing anyway. And I think, if I
- understand Mr. Fitzhenry correctly, it is consistent
- with what we usually do with large hearings, and that
- is, issue a ruling directing all parties who had a
- witness offer testimony or, for that matter, who
- intend to question any witness, have them to identify
- who they intend to question and how much time they

- think it will take. And then the parties amongst
- themselves will try to put together a schedule based
- on witnesses' availability and how many hours there
- <sup>4</sup> are in a day. So there will be a schedule in terms
- of who will appear when and how much time we think it
- 6 will take. Is that --
- 7 MR. McNAMARA: That's question one. Question
- 8 two, as to those witnesses who submit testimony that
- <sup>9</sup> are not required to be present, affidavits will get
- their testimony in or not?
- JUDGE ALBERS: Yeah, if there are -- if we
- confirm ahead of time that there are no questions for
- a particular witness, I don't have an objection to
- their testimony being admitted by affidavit. For
- that matter, I want to make sure that it is crystal
- clear to everybody. If there are no questions of
- that particular witness, their attorney can be here
- and offer the affidavit. Does that make sense?
- MR. FITZHENRY: Yes.
- MR. GOWER: Do you want the hard copy of the
- affidavit as opposed to an e-file?
- JUDGE ALBERS: You can e-file it. That's fine.

- 1 If it is a separate document, make sure it is -- if
- it is a separate document, you just need to give it
- an exhibit number. So if your testimony is Exhibit
- 4 1, your affidavit will be 1.1, just to keep it
- <sup>5</sup> straight. Does that address your questions?
- 6 MR. McNAMARA: Yes, sir. Thank you, Judge.
- JUDGE ALBERS: I think that takes care of it.
- 8 MS. BOJKO: Judge?
- <sup>9</sup> JUDGE ALBERS: Go ahead.
- MS. BOJKO: Your Honor, this is Kimberly Bojko
- with the Midwest ISO again. In this discussion about
- witness availability and given the scope of the
- hearing -- and this may play out, I guess, in the
- parties working together to join witnesses -- but was
- there any thought to grouping together subject matter
- as well since the alternative route would be
- different? We obviously have a witness coming from
- out of state and would like a date certain for that
- witness and are hoping that that could be worked out
- as well in the scheduling amongst the parties. Is
- that your intent?
- JUDGE ALBERS: That's my intent. It is my

- understanding the parties usually work together to
- try to take travel into consideration. Does that
- 3 help?
- MS. BOJKO: Yes. And was there any thought to
- 5 separating kind of the issues of the proposed route
- 6 versus the alternate route and landowner type issues?
- JUDGE ALBERS: You know, generally I am all in
- favor of that, but I understand that that might be
- <sup>9</sup> tough just given the availability of witnesses. So
- to the extent it can be worked out, great. If not,
- whenever that person takes the stand, also make sure
- that everyone is clear on what part of the route they
- are talking about. Does that help?
- MS. BOJKO: Yes, thank you.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Okay. All right. So that I
- think takes care of Mr. Fitzhenry's first point. So
- all we have left is his second one.
- In terms of using that motion hearing
- scheduled for March 8 for anything other than motions
- which I think is what you were -- I am sorry, May 8,
- thank you. Yeah, Judge Yoder and I are certainly
- fine with that. We generally, you know, take up any

- other loose ends. Anything that needs to be taken
- care of before the evidentiary hearing we would
- address then, too. So bear that in mind as you
- <sup>4</sup> prepare for the May 8 hearing.
- MR. FITZHENRY: Thank you.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Any other questions or concerns?
- 7 (No response.)
- 8 All right. I don't think I have
- 9 anything else so -- oh, Mr. Gower.
- MR. GOWER: Well, Judge, have you addressed the
- May 3 -- Mr. Fitzhenry's request for a May 3 filing
- date for identification of witnesses?
- JUDGE ALBERS: Oh, well.
- MR. GOWER: I have a problem with that timing
- simply because the rebuttal witnesses file on April
- 26 and that's a week later and the hearings don't
- start for another ten days. So, I mean, I think that
- we can cooperate and coordinate among counsel and
- don't need ten days to put that witness list
- together. I don't want to give an inaccurate
- estimate of cross examination ten days in advance of
- the hearing.

- JUDGE ALBERS: Well, I will let Mr. Fitzhenry
- respond to that, but at this point my thinking is
- that until we know how many witnesses we have, I am
- 4 hesitant to commit to a certain day. I mean, if we
- 5 have -- I think I have counted at least 60
- Intervenors. And if we have -- you know, I am
- <sup>7</sup> certainly not restricted to one witness, so we may
- have a hundred witnesses by the time we are done
- 9 here. I don't know, so.
- MR. FITZHENRY: I would say from my experience
- in rate cases where there are far less parties, it
- typically takes a week. And these are parties that
- typically appear before the Commission and the
- $^{14}$  witnesses and so forth. This is in part for your
- benefit, so whatever you think.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Oh, I know. We planned on
- asking for this. It is just a question of timing
- 18 now.
- MR. FITZHENRY: So it is kind of up to you how
- soon you think you want this information. If you
- want it the day before the hearing, I am sure the
- parties will accommodate that request as well.

- JUDGE ALBERS: Under your proposal when were
- you thinking of getting it to us?
- MR. FITZHENRY: May 3 is a Friday.
- JUDGE ALBERS: But as far as your completed
- 5 list?
- 6 MR. FITZHENRY: By May 8.
- JUDGE ALBERS: I think --
- MR. FITZHENRY: Which is five days from the
- <sup>9</sup> hearing date.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Generally, Judge Yoder and I are
- comfortable with fewer days than that, so you might
- be able to, you know, assume a little bit there.
- MR. FITZHENRY: I do think it is important that
- you provide a date certain when the information
- should be shared amongst the parties.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Well, it will be around that
- 17 time.
- MR. FITZHENRY: That's fine, whatever the date
- <sup>19</sup> is.
- JUDGE ALBERS: But we will provide a date
- certain. As you know from our past rulings, we
- 22 always say by this day give us this information and

- we can certainly back up the sharing of information
- by a certain date. So I think it would be
- beneficial, given the number of parties.
- 4 MR. GOWER: I agree. It was just a matter of
- 5 how soon.
- 6 MR. FITZHENRY: So I am clear, you intend to
- <sup>7</sup> issue a ruling that says on a certain date you want
- 8 the schedule.
- JUDGE ALBERS: We intend to issue a ruling that
- says by a certain date all of you need to have shared
- with each other your cross estimates and then
- thereafter give us...
- MR. FITZHENRY: Fair enough.
- JUDGE ALBERS: ..a chart or schedule, whatever
- you want to call it.
- MR. FITZHENRY: That's fine.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Just to make sure that everyone
- is doing their work in advance, not in the last
- minute and, we get something useful to us.
- So any other questions or concerns?
- 21 (No response.)
- All right. If nothing else for the

- 1 record then --
- MR. GOWER: Judge, at some point, probably not
- today, but at some point we are going to have to give
- 4 some consideration to how we handle exhibits at the
- bearing with the number of parties.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Well, if you want to talk about
- it off the record, it is a procedural matter, we can
- 8 try to figure something out here after we conclude
- 9 this. Or if you want it on the record, that's fine.
- MR. GOWER: No, it doesn't have to be done
- 11 today. But I just think that -- I would prefer not
- to have to make 400 copies of a large exhibit.
- 13 That's all I am concerned about.
- JUDGE ALBERS: Right. Well, we can talk about
- that a little bit here if you want. Just stick
- around for a minute.
- Okay. Anything further?
- 18 (No response.)
- 19 If nothing further, then I thank you
- all and continue this to 9:30 on May 8.
  - (Whereupon the hearing in this
- 21 matter was continued until May
  - 8, 2013, at 9:30 a.m. in
- Springfield, Illinois.)